

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/709,030	11/08/2000	Donald F. Gordon	SEDN/247CIP6	2569	
56015	56015 7590 03/20/2006			EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			LONSBERRY, HUNTER B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/709,030	GORDON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hunter B. Lonsberry	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ocrrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> .  2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allows	is action is non-final.	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 23-37 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 23-37 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic fority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa	Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,844,620 to Coleman in view of U.S. Patent 6,779,195 to Oishi.

Regarding claim 23, Coleman discloses a method for keeping track of program indexes in an interactive delivery system, comprising:

maintaining track of which of a plurality of interactive program guide (IPG) pages are currently received at a terminal from a headend by using a program map table (PMT) (column 13, lines 25-33), and a roster (column 13, line 49-column 14, line 22, program guide elements stored in RAM);

Application/Control Number: 09/709,030

Art Unit: 2611

receiving a request from a viewer at the terminal for a selected IPG page (column 6, lines 39-43, column 18, lines 27-47);

determining whether the selected IPG page is currently received at the terminal from the headend by consulting the roster (column 13, line 62-column 14, line22) and if the selected IPG page is currently received, then using the roster to determine which packet identifier (PIDs) used to transmit a plurality of regions of the selected IPG page, processing these PIDs to recover the selected IPG page, and presenting the selected IPG page to the viewer, without requesting transmission of the selected IPG page from the headend (column 14, lines 23-62, column 17, lines 22-65).

Coleman fails to disclose maintaining track of which IPG pages are currently received by using a program association table (PAT),

Oishi discloses an MPEG2 enabled system which utilizes a PMT to identify audio, video, and data PIDS associated with an IPG page (column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, figure 4), and generating a PAT to identify the PIDs for the PMT for the EPG pages via the Event Information tables(column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, column 12, lines 29-42, 51-column 13, line 22, figure 5), thus enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Coleman to utilize the MPEG2 features and PAT as taught by Oishi for the advantage of enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,030

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 24, 29, and 34, Coleman discloses that if the selected IPG page is not currently received, the terminal requests transmission of the selected page from the headend (column 6, lines 30-58, the user requests the pages and it is stored in RAM and is formatted in the same format as the trickle stream).

Regarding claims 25, 30, and 35, Coleman discloses that roster elements for each page are transmitted from the headend to the terminal and stored at the terminal within the roster (column 8, lines 32-43, column 13, lines 25-column 14, line 8).

Regarding claims 26, 31 and 36, Coleman discloses that the roster is updated as new IPG pages are transmitted by the headend (column 13, line 49-column 14, line 22).

Regarding claim 27, 32, and 37, Coleman discloses that the roster is updated as old pages are removed (column 14, lines 7-22).

Regarding claim 28, Coleman discloses system for keeping track of program indexes in an interactive delivery system, comprising:

a tracking component at a terminal to maintain track of which of a plurality of interactive program guide (IPG) pages are currently received at the terminal from a headend by using a program map table (PMT) (column 13, lines 25-33), and a roster (column 13, line 49-column 14, line 22, program guide elements stored in RAM); and

Application/Control Number: 09/709,030

Art Unit: 2611

a remote control unit coupled to the terminal to receive a request from a viewer for a selected IPG page (column 13, line 62-column 14, line 7);

wherein the tracking component determines whether the selected IPG page is currently received at the terminal from the headend by consulting the roster (column 13, line 62-column 14, line22);

wherein, if the selected IPG page is currently received, then the tracking component uses the roster to determine which packet identifier are used to transmit a plurality of regions of the selected IPG page (foundation and schedule/title records, column 14, lines 52-62), processing these PIDS to recover the selected IPG page, and presenting the selected IPG page to the viewer, without requesting transmission of the selected IPG page from the headend (column 14, lines 23-62, column 17, lines 22-65).

Coleman fails to disclose maintaining track of which IPG pages are currently received by using a program association table (PAT),

Oishi discloses an MPEG2 enabled system which utilizes a PMT to identify audio, video, and data PIDS associated with an IPG page (column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, figure 4), and generating a PAT to identify the PIDs for the PMT for the EPG pages via the Event Information tables(column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, column 12, lines 29-42, 51-column 13, line 22, figure 5), thus enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Coleman to utilize the MPEG2 features and PAT as taught by Oishi

Art Unit: 2611

for the advantage of enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

Regarding claim 33, Coleman discloses a computer readable medium storing instructions for performing a method for keeping track of program indexes in an interactive delivery system, comprising:

maintaining track of which of a plurality of interactive program guide (IPG) pages are currently received at a terminal from a headend by using a program map table (PMT) (column 13, lines 25-33), and a roster (column 13, line 49-column 14, line 22, program guide elements stored in RAM);

receiving a request from a viewer at the terminal for a selected IPG page (column 6, lines 39-43, column 18, lines 27-47);

determining whether the selected IPG page is currently received at the terminal from the headend by consulting the roster (column 13, line 62-column 14, line22) and

if the selected IPG page is currently received, then using the roster to determine which packet identifier (PIDs) used to transmit a plurality of regions of the selected IPG page, processing these PIDs to recover the selected IPG page, and presenting the selected IPG page to the viewer, without requesting transmission of the selected IPG page from the headend (column 14, lines 23-62, column 17, lines 22-65).

Coleman fails to disclose maintaining track of which IPG pages are currently received by using a program association table (PAT),

Oishi discloses an MPEG2 enabled system which utilizes a PMT to identify audio, video, and data PIDS associated with an IPG page (column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, figure 4), and generating a PAT to identify the PIDs for the PMT for the EPG pages via the Event Information tables(column 4, line 47-, column 5, line 22, 63-column 6, line 9, 21-33, column 12, lines 29-42, 51-column 13, line 22, figure 5), thus enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Coleman to utilize the MPEG2 features and PAT as taught by Oishi for the advantage of enabling a STB to easy find requested programming content and making use of the high quality video MPEG2 offers.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hunter B. Lonsberry whose telephone number is 571-272-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,030 Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

It In

HBL Exampler Arturit 2011